# DYS DIVERSION GRANTEE ANNUAL REPORT

Fiscal Year 2020-2021

Due November 30, 2021

Trogram Name.
Physical Address:
Submitting County:
Grant Amount:
Report Submitted By:
Title:
Contact Number:
Email Address:
Date Submitted:

# DYS GRANTEE NARRATIVE ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2020 - 2021

(October 1, 2020 - September 30, 2021)

**Instructions:** Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Also included in this document is a Grantee Annual Data Report which requires the reporting of program statistical data. The DYS commitment information by county is no longer required. All sections must be completed. Reports must be submitted as a .pdf document to the attention of Becky Hathcock at the following email address: <a href="mailto:becky.hathcock@dys.alabama.gov">becky.hathcock@dys.alabama.gov</a>

Annual reports are due no later than November 30.

PROGRAM DESCR	DIDTION

### I. Services Provided

Explain the nature and structure of the program, including the types of services provided to youth and families who participated.

# **II.** Target Population

Identify the population of youth that were generally admitted to the program during the fiscal year.

GENDER	
AGE	
RISK LEVEL	
OFFENSE	

## III. Length of Stay

On average, how long did youth remain in the program? How did the average length of stay compare to the anticipated/targeted length of stay?

What factors influenced how long youth remained in the program?

# **EVALUATING SUCCESS**

- I. What were the intended goal(s) of the program for this fiscal year?
- II. Did the program achieve the intended goal(s)? Please explain.

# **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

I.			-		ore of the following quality assurance those that were completed.
		Youth Satisfaction	ı Survevs		Periodic Review of Progress Notes
		Periodic File Rev	•		Observation of Group Activities
		Pre- and Post-Tes	ting		External Evaluation
		Re-assessment of	youth's progress		Peer Review Process
		Other:			
II.	condu	provide a summa cted and the findin			ities, including how each was nce activities:
I.		am Completion: S	uccessful/Unsucce	essful	
	how m	any youth complete	d successfully? Wi	hat can	n. What factors do you believe influenced be done in the future to improve the s of youth who did not successfully
II.	Six M	onth Follow-up			
	unsuc		•		actors influenced successfully or r the six month follow-up for youth
III.	Net W	dening			
	consia admitt progra	ered "widening the ed to DYS if the pro	net" to use the program were not in a gram effect? Explain	ogram existen n why o	natives to incarceration, it would be for youth who would not have been ce (i.e., CHINS, truancy). Did this or why not. What can be done in the
IM	PROVE	MENT PLAN			
I.	Overa	ll, what is working	well in the progr	ram?	
II.	What	is not working wel	l in the program?	•	

III. Based on the information gathered from Quality Assurance efforts, and annual

working well?

program self-assessment, what improvements will be made to the areas that are not

PROGRAM	#	%	
Total Caseload	Total Number of Cases (all youth served during the fiscal year)		
	Low		
Risk Level	Medium		
TAISK LOVE	High		
	Very High		
	13 or younger		
Age	14 or 15		
Distribution	16 or 17		
	18 or older		
Gender	Male		
Condo	Female		
	White		
Race/	African-American		
Ethnicity	Hispanic		
	Other		
	Felony Person Misdemeanor Person		
Most Serious	Felony Property Misdemeanor Property		
Current	Public Order		
Offense Category	Drugs		
	Weapons Probation Violation		
	Truancy		
	CHINS		

# **GRANTEE ANNUAL DATA REPORT**

PROGRAM PARTICIPATION #			
PROGRAMIP	ARTICIPATION	#	%
County  (list county name, number and percentage of youth served from each county in program service area)			
Total Number of Case Closures for the Fiscal Year			
Successful Case Closures			
Unsuccessful Case Closures			
Reasons for Unsuccessful Completion	Assaultive behavior  AWOL  Behavioral problems  Case closed  New charges  VOP filed  Missing		
Committed to DYS After Unsuccessful Completion	Runaway Other Yes No		

PROGRAM LENGTH OF STAY		
	Minimum	
	Maximum	
Length of Stay	Average	
	% below average	
	% above average	

GRANTEE BENCHMARKS				
YOUTH SERVED	ANTICIPATED	ACTUAL		
# of youth that can be served annually according to the grant application				
LENTGH OF STAY	ANTICIPATED	ACTUAL		
Expected length of program participation according to the grant agreement				

PROGRAM YOUTH SIX MONTH OUTCOME MEA	SURES
# OF OUTCOMES SUCCESSFULLY TRACKED	
# OF OUTCOMES UNSUCCESSFULLY TRACKED	
# OF YOUTH WITH NEW CHARGES	

BOTTOM LINE COST			
*DYS daily cost per reduced commitment  *Total grant amount divided by, # of youth served by program, divided by 365 days	\$		