# DYS DIVERSION GRANTEE ANNUAL REPORT

Fiscal Year 2024-2025

Due November 30, 2025

| Program Name:        |
|----------------------|
| Physical Address:    |
| Submitting County:   |
| Grant Amount:        |
|                      |
| Report Submitted By: |
| Title:               |
| Contact Number:      |
| Email Address:       |
| Date Submitted:      |

### DYS GRANTEE NARRATIVE ANNUAL REPORT

FISCAL YEAR 2023 - 2024

(October 1, 2024 - September 30, 2025)

**Instructions:** Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. Also included in this document is a Grantee Annual Data Report which requires the reporting of program statistical data. The DYS commitment information by county is no longer required. All sections must be completed. Reports must be submitted as a .pdf document to the following email addresses: <a href="mailto:leah.walker@dys.alabama.gov">leah.walker@dys.alabama.gov</a> and <a href="mailto:monica.rowland@dys.alabama.gov">monica.rowland@dys.alabama.gov</a>.

Annual reports are due no later than November 30.

| PR | O  | GR         | ΔM   | DESCR    | IPTION |
|----|----|------------|------|----------|--------|
|    | ., | <b>TIX</b> | - VI | 17147141 |        |

### I. Services Provided

Explain the nature and structure of the program, including the types of services provided to youth and families who participated.

# **II.** Target Population

Identify the population of youth that were generally admitted to the program during the fiscal year.

| GENDER     |  |
|------------|--|
| AGE        |  |
| RISK LEVEL |  |
| OFFENSE    |  |

# **III.** Length of Stay

On average, how long did youth remain in the program? How did the average length of stay compare to the anticipated/targeted length of stay?

What factors influenced how long youth remained in the program?

### **EVALUATING SUCCESS**

- I. What were the intended goal(s) of the program for this fiscal year?
- II. Did the program achieve the intended goal(s)? Please explain.

## **QUALITY ASSURANCE**

| I.   | In the grant application, you identified one or more of the following quality assurance activities that would be conducted. Please check those that were completed.  |  |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|
|      | ☐ Youth Satisfaction Surveys ☐ Periodic Review of Progress Notes   |  |  |  |
|      | ☐ Periodic File Reviews ☐ Observation of Group Activities  |  |  |  |
|      | ☐ Pre- and Post-Testing ☐ External Evaluation  |  |  |  |
|      | ☐ Re-assessment of youth's progress ☐ Peer Review Process  |  |  |  |
|      | ☐ Other:   |  |  |  |
| II.  | Please provide a summary of each of these activities, including how each was onducted and the findings of the quality assurance activities:  |  |  |  |
| YO   | TH OUTCOMES  |  |  |  |
| I.   | Program Completion: Successful/Unsuccessful  |  |  |  |
|      | Evaluate the rate of successful program completion. What factors do you believe influenced ow many youth completed successfully? What can be done in the future to improve the uccess rate? What factors influenced the outcomes of youth who did not successfully omplete the program?  |  |  |  |
| II.  | Six Month Follow-up  |  |  |  |
|      | Evaluate the outcome measures completed. What factors influenced successfully or nsuccessfully gathering the information needed for the six month follow-up for youth utcome measures?   |  |  |  |
| III. | Net Widening   |  |  |  |
|      | ince DYS grant programs are intended to be alternatives to incarceration, it would be onsidered "widening the net" to use the program for youth who would not have been dmitted to DYS if the program were not in existence (i.e., CHINS, truancy). Did this rogram have a net-widening effect? Explain why or why not. What can be done in the uture to ensure net-widening does not occur? |  |  |  |
| IMI  | OVEMENT PLAN   |  |  |  |
| I.   | Overall, what is working well in the program?  |  |  |  |
| II.  | What is not working well in the program?   |  |  |  |
| III. | Based on the information gathered from Quality Assurance efforts, and annual program self-assessment, what improvements will be made to the areas that are not working well?   |  |  |  |

| PROGRAM           | DEMOGRAPHICS   | # | % |
|-------------------|--|---|---|
| Total<br>Caseload | Total Number of<br>Cases (all youth<br>served during the<br>fiscal year) |   |   |
|                   | Low  |   |   |
| Risk Level        | Medium   |   |   |
| TRIOR LOVOI       | High   |   |   |
|                   | Very High  |   |   |
|                   | 13 or younger  |   |   |
| Age               | 14 or 15   |   |   |
| Distribution      | 16 or 17   |   |   |
|                   | 18 or older  |   |   |
| Gender            | Male   |   |   |
| Gender            | Female   |   |   |
|                   | White  |   |   |
| Race/             | African-American   |   |   |
| Ethnicity         | Hispanic   |   |   |
|                   | Other  |   |   |
|                   | Felony Person Misdemeanor Person   |   |   |
| Most<br>Serious   | Felony Property Misdemeanor Property                                     |   |   |
| Current           | Public Order   |   |   |
| Offense           | Drugs  |   |   |
| Category          | Weapons Probation Violation  |   |   |
|                   | Truancy  |   |   |
|                   | CHINS  |   |   |

## **GRANTEE ANNUAL DATA REPORT**

| PROGRAM P  | #                   | % |  |
|--|---------------------|---|--|
| County  (list county name, number and percentage of youth served from each county in program service area) |                     |   |  |
| Total Number of<br>Case <b>Closures</b><br>for the Fiscal<br>Year  |                     |   |  |
| Successful Case<br>Closures  |                     |   |  |
| Unsuccessful<br>Case Closures  |                     |   |  |
| Administrative<br>Case Closures  |                     |   |  |
|  | Assaultive behavior |   |  |
|  | AWOL                |   |  |
|  | Behavioral problems |   |  |
| Reasons for  | Case closed         |   |  |
| Unsuccessful   | New charges         |   |  |
| Completion   | VOP filed           |   |  |
|  | Missing             |   |  |
|  | Runaway             |   |  |
|  | Other               |   |  |
| Committed to<br>DYS After<br>Unsuccessful  | Yes                 |   |  |
| Completion   | No                  |   |  |

| PROGRAM LENGTH OF STAY |                 |  |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|
|                        | Minimum         |  |
|                        | Maximum         |  |
| Length of Stay         | Average         |  |
|                        | % below average |  |
|                        | % above average |  |

| GRANTEE BENCHMARKS  |             |        |  |  |
|---|-------------|--------|--|--|
| YOUTH SERVED  | ANTICIPATED | ACTUAL |  |  |
| # of youth that can be served<br>annually according to the grant<br>application |             |        |  |  |
|   |             |        |  |  |
| LENTGH OF STAY  | ANTICIPATED | ACTUAL |  |  |
| Expected length of program participation according to the grant agreement       |             |        |  |  |

| PROGRAM YOUTH SIX MONTH OUTCOME MEASURES |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| # OF OUTCOMES SUCCESSFULLY TRACKED       |  |  |
| # OF OUTCOMES UNSUCCESSFULLY TRACKED     |  |  |
| # OF YOUTH WITH NEW CHARGES              |  |  |

| BOTTOM LINE COST  |    |  |
|---|----|--|
| *DYS daily cost per reduced commitment  *Total grant amount divided by, # of youth served by program, divided by 365 days | \$ |  |